K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto:gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,101	06/26/2003	Dirk Schneider	703094	1100	
30008 GUDRUN E. H	7590 07/20/2007 IUCKETT DRAUDT	EXAMINER			
SCHUBERTSTR. 15A			REDMAN	REDMAN, JERRY E	
WUPPERTAL, 42289 GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				•		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/604,101	SCHNEIDER, DIRK			
•	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerry Redman	3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING OF THE MAILING DAISING OF THE MAY BE AND THE MAILING DAISING OF THE MAY BE AND	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ahruani 2006 and 21 November 2	2005			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 February 2006 and 21 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	•	·				
	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
		election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen ^a	t(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) , 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
A) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
•		-/ L				

Art Unit: 3634

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The applicant's information disclosure statement dated 2/13/2006 has been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

The applicant's proposed changes to the drawings are not approved by the Examiner. The drawings show a vague actuation of how the device works which fails to overcome the rejections below.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the handle being connected to the push rod as recited in claim 1, line 7, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 3634

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. How does the handle (10) interconnect with the push rod (9)?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood, claims 1-17 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen et al. Hansen et al. disclose a wing arrangement comprising a door (16), a frame (the other door 18), a locking device comprising a push rod (40), a locking bar (84), an actuating element (90) acting on the locking bar, a profile

Art Unit: 3634

section having a locking part (the plate portion on element 18), a handle (71) connected to the push rod (40) to operate the locking device, a rotary bearing (the hole in which element (86) is inserted therein), a pivot element (86) mounted within the rotary bearing, a locking driving member (96), an unlocking driving element (98), and a locking case (48).

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. It appears that the applicant is relying on "known prior art/operation" of a device and then relies on this "known operation" as a limitation in which the art fails to disclose. Thus, if the applicant is relying and stating on the record that the "operation" of the wing arrangement and more specifically the handle/latching structure, then the Examiner's stance is that the prior art need not disclose those limitations based on the applicant's own admission. And, if the applicant is taking the stance that the "operation" of the handle/latching assembly is not shown by the art of record, then the Examiner stance (currently) is that the applicant must clearly show and describe how the applicant's invention fully operates. Currently, the art of record still reads on the claimed invention as discussed in detail in previous office actions.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glessner, can be reached on 571-272-8300. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner REPLACEMENT SHEET 10/604,101 Inventor: Dirk Schneider Filing Date: 6/26/2003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

